Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/753,954	ITO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Bruck Kifle, Ph.D.	1624	

All Participants:

(1) *Bruck Kifle, Ph.D.*.

(2) *Mr. David Kurlandsky*.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *24 March 2004*

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
10

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

A telephone call was made to Applicant's representative to request deletion of certain diseases from claim 10. Mr. Kurlandsky agreed to have these cahnges done by examiner's amendment without prejudice.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)